

FILED
Clerk
District Court

APR 7 2008

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

For The Northern Mariana Islands
By _____
(Deputy Clerk)

ROBERT CHIN SUZUKI,

Civil Action No. 08-0005

Plaintiff,

vs.

Order Re Case Management
Conference

MING HUA Q. YOON,

Defendant.

David B. Banes
Attorney at Law
P.O. Box 501969
Tinian, MP 96952

John D. Osborn
Attorney at Law
P.O. Box 5241
Saipan, MP 96950

In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Local Rule 16.2CJ,
each of the above-named shall be present at the Case Management Conference, set
for Tuesday, April 29, 2008, at 9:30 a.m.

The parties shall be prepared to discuss:

- (a) Service of process on parties not yet served;
- (b) Jurisdiction and venue;
- (c) Track assignment;
- (d) Anticipated motions;
- (e) Anticipated or remaining discovery, including (1) limitation on discovery,
(2) and provisions for disclosure or discovery of electronically stored
information; and, (3) any agreements the parties reach for asserting claims
of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material after production;

- (f) Further proceedings, including setting dates for discovery cut-off, pretrial and trial;
- (g) Appropriateness of special procedures such as consolidation of actions for discovery or pretrial, reference to a master or to arbitration, or to the Judicial Panel on Multi-district Litigation, or application of the Manual for Complex Litigation;
- (h) Modifications of the standard pretrial procedures specified by this Plan on account of the relative simplicity or complexity of the action or proceeding;
- (i) Settlement prospects;
- (j) Any other matter which may be conducive to the just, efficient, and economical determination of the proceedings, including the definition or limitation of issues; and,
- (k) Setting of dates for:
 1. Joinder of all parties,
 2. Motions to amend,
 3. Discovery cut-off,
 4. Status Conferences,
 5. Discovery motion hearing date,
 6. Dispositive motion cut-off,
 7. Dispositive motion hearing date,
 8. Settlement conference,
 9. Joint pretrial order,
 10. Final pretrial order,
 11. Trial.

The Court recommends that this case be assigned to the Standard track as defined by Local Rule 16.2CJ.c.

The parties are reminded that the dates chosen at the conference will not be susceptible to subsequent easy change. Fed.R.Civ.P.16(b)(6) provides in part that a case management scheduling order "shall not be modified except upon a showing of good cause and by leave of the district judge[.]" To establish good cause, a party must

1 generally show that even with the exercise of due diligence it cannot meet the order's
2 time table. See e.g. Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir.
3 1992). The liberal amendment policy of Fed.R.Civ.P. 15 no longer obtains once the
4 case management scheduling order has been entered. See Coleman v. Quaker Oats
5 Co., 232 F.3d 1271, 1294 (9th Cir. 2000).
6

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED this 7th day of April, 2008.

9
10 

11 ALEX R. MUNSON

12 Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26